

Problem 7 2^{6-2} - design

7. Significant effects.

ANOVA

factor	effect $l_j \cdot 10^2$	SS 10^4	F_{obs}	Sign.
A	-0.5	1	6.80	
B	2.5	25	170.1	xx
C	1	4	27.2	x
D	1.1	4.84	32.9	x
E	2.1	17.64	120	xx
F	0.6	1.44	9.80	
AB	1.1	4.84	32.9	x
AD	0.2	0.16	6.09	
AF	0.6	1.44	9.80	
BD	-1.5	9	61.2	xx
BE	1.2	5.76	39.2	xx

$$SS_{reg} = \sum 75.12$$

$$SS_T (corr) = 77 \cdot 10^{-4} ; \text{Block effect} = -0.006$$

$$SS_T (corr) = SS_{reg} + SS_{Block} + SS_E$$

df: 15 11 1 3

$$SS_E = 77 \cdot 10^{-4} - 75.12 \cdot 10^{-4} - 4.62 \cdot 10^{-6}$$

$$SS_E = 0.44 \cdot 10^{-4}$$

$$MS_E = \frac{0.44 \cdot 10^{-4}}{3} = 1.47 \cdot 10^{-5} ; \text{compare!}$$

$s^2 = 2 \cdot 10^{-5}$

$$F(0.95, 1, 3) = 10.13 \quad | \quad F(0.99, 1, 3) = 34.11^{2/2}$$

2. Optimal combination of variables.

Significant model:

$$y = M + B + C + D + E + AB + BD + BE$$

$$y = M + 0.5 \left(l_B x_B + l_C x_C + l_D x_D + l_E x_E + l_{AB} x_A x_B + l_{BD} x_B x_D + l_{BE} x_B x_E \right)$$

$$y = 2.7 + \frac{10^{-3}}{2} (25 x_B + 10 x_C + 11 x_D + 21 x_E + 11 x_A x_B - 15 x_B x_D + 12 x_B x_E)$$

B: $x_B = 1$ $\Delta y = 25 \cdot 10^{-3} \cdot 0.5$

AB: $x_B = 1$ $x_A = 1$ 11.

C: $x_C = 1$ 10.

D: $x_D = -1$ -11.

BD: $x_B = 1$ $x_D = -1$ 15.

E: $x_E = 1$ 21.

BE: $x_B = 1$ $x_E = 1$ 12.

$$y_{\text{opt}} = 2.7 + 10^{-3} \cdot 0.5 (25 + 11 + 10 - 11 + 15 + 21 + 12)$$

$$M_{\text{opt}} = \underline{\underline{2.742}}$$

Uppgift 2

1/2

- 3 kontrollerbara variabler: x_1, x_2, x_3
2 icke-kontrollerbara variabler, z_1, z_2
(brusvariabler)

Responnsmodell, första ordningen.

$$y(\underline{x}, \underline{z}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_3 x_3 + \beta_{12} x_1 x_2 + \beta_{13} x_1 x_3 + \beta_{23} x_2 x_3 + \gamma z_1 + \gamma z_2 + \delta_{11} x_1 z_1 + \delta_{21} x_2 z_1 + \delta_{31} x_3 z_1 + \delta_{12} x_1 z_2 + \delta_{22} x_2 z_2 + \delta_{32} x_3 z_2 + \varepsilon$$

- Utför ett 2^5 -faktorförök, dvs 32 förök, f. ex. i pilotskala där z_1 och z_2 kan kontrolleras.
- Bestäm parametrarna i responnsmodellens med regression.
Skattningen av variansen, s^2 , beräknas från residualkvadratsumman, SS_{res} , $s^2 = SS_{res} / (n - p)$

- Beräkning av variansen för prediktionen:

Modellen kan skrivas:

$$y(\underline{x}, \underline{z}) = f(\underline{x}) + h(\underline{x}, \underline{z}) + \varepsilon$$

$$E(\varepsilon) = 0 \quad V(\varepsilon) = I \sigma^2$$

$f(\underline{x})$: endast kontrollerbara variabler

$h(\underline{x}, \underline{z})$: huvudeffekten av busvariabler^{2/3}
+ interaktion mellan kontrollvariabler - och busfaktorer.

$$h(\underline{x}, \underline{z}) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \beta_i z_i + \sum_{i=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^2 \beta_{ij} x_i z_j$$

$$E(\underline{z}) = 0$$

$$\underline{V}(\underline{z}) = \underline{I} \cdot \sigma_z^2, \quad \text{cov}(\underline{z}_1, \underline{z}_2) = 0$$

Medel - modell:

$$E_z[y(\underline{x}, \underline{z})] = f(\underline{x}), \quad \text{väntevärde av} \\ \text{både } \underline{z} \text{ och } \underline{\varepsilon} = 0$$

Varians - modell:

$$V_z[y(\underline{x}, \underline{z})] = \sigma_z^2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[\frac{\partial y(\underline{x}, \underline{z})}{\partial z_i} \right]^2 + s^2$$

variansbidraget från
busvariablerna

$\sigma_z^2 = 1$ om busvariablerna varierar
en standardavvikelse ($s_z = \pm 1$)
runt medelvärdena.

Uppgift 3

Faktorer: gasflöde (rad)
våtskeflöde (kolonn)

Responst (y): värmeförningskoefficient

Förväntade och medelvärden: se nästa sida.

Summera:

$$SS_T = \sum \sum (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{..})^2 = \sum \sum y_{ij}^2 - \frac{y_{..}^2}{N}$$

$$SS_T = 373248$$

$$SS(\text{gasflöde}) = b \sum (\bar{y}_{i.} - \bar{y}_{..})^2 = \frac{1}{b} \sum y_{i.}^2 - \frac{y_{..}^2}{N}$$

$$SS(\text{gasflöde}) = 324082$$

$$SS(\text{våtskeflöde}) = a \sum (\bar{y}_{.j} - \bar{y}_{..})^2 = \frac{1}{a} \sum y_{.j}^2 - \frac{y_{..}^2}{N}$$

$$SS(\text{våtskeflöde}) = 39934$$

$$SS_E = SS_T - SS(\text{gasflöde}) - SS(\text{våtskeflöde}) = 9232$$

$$df: (a-1)(b-1) \quad N-1 \quad a-1 \quad b-1$$

Försöksdata och beräknade medelvärden

$\rightarrow \bar{y} \quad b=41$

A (y_{exp} (körde))	B (väntade (körde))			
	1(190)	2(250)	3(300)	4(400)
1(200)	200	226	240	261
2(400)	278	312	330	381
3(700)	369	416	462	517
4(1100)	500	575	645	733

$i \downarrow$

$a = 41$

$y_{i \cdot}$	$\bar{y}_{i \cdot}$	$\bar{y}_{i \cdot} - \bar{y}_{0 \cdot}$
927	231.75	-171.0625
1301	325.25	-77.5625
1764	441.00	39.1875
2453	613.25	210.4375

$\sum y_{0j} = 1847 \quad 1529 \quad 1677 \quad 1892$

$\sum y_{i \cdot} = y_{0 \cdot} = 6445$

$\sum y_{0j} = 336.75 \quad 382.25 \quad 419.25 \quad 473.00$

$\bar{y}_{0 \cdot} = 402.81$

$\sum (y_{0j} - \bar{y}_{0 \cdot}) = -66.0625 - 20.5625 + 16.4375 + 70.1875$

ANOVA

3/4

variation	SS	df	MS	F _{obs}
gasflöde	324082	3	108027	105.31 x
vätkeflöde	39934	3	13311	12.98 x
fel	4232	9	1026	
total	373248	15		

$$F_{stat} = F(0.99, 3, 9) = 6.99$$

A: gas- och vätkeflöden har signifikant effekt på värmöverföringskoeff.

B: Signifikant skillnad mellan vätkeflödena testas med "least significant difference" - metoden.

$$LSD = t_{stat} \sqrt{s^2 \left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{a'} \right)}$$

$$t_{stat} = t(0.995, 9) = 3.2498$$

$$LSD = 3.2498 \cdot \left(1026 \cdot \frac{2}{4} \right)^{1/2} = 73.60$$

skillnad: medelvärden mellan vätkeflödena:

$$\Delta \bar{y}_{j, j+1} = | \bar{y}_{\cdot j} - \bar{y}_{\cdot j+1} |$$

Signifikant skillnad om

$$\Delta \bar{y}_{j, j+1} \geq LSD$$

$$\Delta \bar{y}_{1,2} = 48.5$$

$$\Delta \bar{y}_{2,3} = 37.0$$

$$\Delta \bar{y}_{3,4} = 53.25$$

$$\Delta \bar{y}_{1,3} = \underline{82.5}$$

$$\Delta \bar{y}_{2,4} = \underline{90.75}$$

$$\Delta \bar{y}_{1,4} = \underline{\underline{136.25}}$$

Signifikant skillnad mellan flödena 1 och 4, mycket signifikant skillnad mellan flödena 1 och 3, 2 och 4.

Alternativt test:

Bilda testvariabel:

$$t_{obs} = \frac{|\bar{y}_{.j} - \bar{y}_{.j+1}|}{\sqrt{s^2 \left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{a} \right)}}$$

Signifikant skillnad om

$$t_{obs} > t_{stat} = 3.25$$

$$t_{obs,12} = 2.01$$

$$t_{obs,23} = 1.63$$

$$t_{obs,34} = 2.37$$

$$t_{obs,13} = \underline{3.64}$$

$$t_{obs,24} = \underline{4.01}$$

$$t_{obs,14} = \underline{\underline{6.02}}$$

C. Analysen visar ~~att~~ på en betydande skillnad mellan flödena 1 och 4.

Problem 4

Model: $\hat{y} = -1.15 + 0.05z_1 + 0.2z_2 + 0.1z_3$

Restriction: $4z_1 + 5z_2 + 6z_3 \leq 371$

starting point: $\bar{z}_1 = 35$ ($x_1 = 0$)
 $\bar{z}_2 = 15$ ($x_2 = 0$)
 $\bar{z}_3 = 11$ ($x_3 = 0$)

Gradient: $\nabla y = \begin{bmatrix} 0.05 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.1 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\underline{z}_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 35 \\ 15 \\ 11 \end{bmatrix} \quad \Delta \underline{z} = k \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0.05 \\ 0.2 \\ 0.1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$\underline{z} = \underline{z}_0 + \Delta \underline{z}$ is inserted in the restriction

$$4(35 + 0.05k) + 5(15 + 0.2k) + 6(11 + 0.1k) \leq 371$$

$$1.8k = 371 - 281 = 90$$

$$k = 50$$

$$\underline{z}_{\text{stop}} = \begin{bmatrix} 35 + 50 \cdot 0.05 \\ 15 + 50 \cdot 0.2 \\ 11 + 50 \cdot 0.1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 37.5 \\ 25 \\ 16 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$x_{\text{stop}} = [0.5 \quad 2 \quad 1]^T$$

Uppgift 5

$$y = b_0 + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + b_3 x_3 + b_{12} x_1 x_2$$

$$b = [50 \ 10 \ 5 \ -5 \ -5]^T \quad \text{11 försök}$$

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_1 x_2 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{Betäcknings-} \\ \text{-matrix} \end{array}$$

Momentmatrix, inverterad:

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} X^T X \\ \hline \hline \end{array} \right)^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1/11 = 0.0909 & & & & \\ & 1/8 = 0.125 & & & \\ & & 1/8 & & \\ & & & 1/8 & \\ & & & & 1/8 \end{bmatrix} = \underline{\underline{A}}$$

A: Konfidensintervall för parametrar:

$$s^2 = \text{SSres} / (n - p) = \frac{8}{11 - 5} = \frac{8}{6} = \frac{4}{3} \approx 1.33$$

$$\text{Konfidenz: } t(0.975, n-p) \cdot (a_{ii})^{1/2} \cdot s^{2/3} \\ = t \cdot \text{SE}(b_i) = 2.447 \cdot \text{se}(b_i)$$

$$b_0 = 50 \pm 0.85$$

$$b_1 = 10 \pm 0.999 \approx 1$$

$$b_2 = 5 \pm 1$$

$$b_3 = -5 \pm 1$$

$$b_{12} = 3 \pm 1$$

B. Konfidenzintervall für
 prediktionen \hat{y} $[1 \ -1 \ 1]$.

$$\underline{\underline{x_0}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\hat{y}(x_0) = 50 + 10 - 5 - 5 - 3 = 47$$

$$\hat{y} = \hat{y}(x_0) \pm t(0.975, n-p) \cdot (s^2 (1 + x_0^T (X^T X)^{-1} x_0))^{1/2}$$

$$x_0^T (X^T X)^{-1} x_0 =$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1/11 & & & & \\ & 1/8 & & & \\ & & 1/8 & & \\ & & & 1/8 & \\ & & & & 1/8 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} =$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1/11 & 1/8 & -4/8 & 4/8 & -1/8 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{3}{8}$$

$$= \frac{1}{11} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} - \frac{4}{8} + \frac{4}{8} - \frac{1}{8} = \frac{1}{11} + \frac{4}{8} = 0.5909$$

$$\hat{y}(x_0) \pm 2.447 \left[\frac{4}{3} (1 - 0.5909) \right]^{1/2}$$

$$\hat{y}(x_0) \pm 3.5639$$

$$\hat{y} = 47 \pm 3.6$$

Problem 6 07-01-13

Reasons to large confidence intervals:

1. Bad experiments

Bad experiments give large s^2 , which can be caused by “outliers”. These can be seen in a residual plot. Points with a standardized residual $-3 \geq \frac{e_i}{\sigma} \geq 3$ can be suspected to be outliers. Outliers can depend on experimental errors but even on real effects. Possibly the experiments that gave outliers can be repeated.

Another reason can be too few observations \Rightarrow too few degrees of freedom \Rightarrow large t-value.

2. Bad model

Large “lack of fit” sum of squares (SS_{LOF}) \Rightarrow large s^2 . Can be tested by repeated runs and following significance study.

Calculate R^2 and R^2_{adj} . Large difference $R^2 - R^2_{adj}$ indicates that there are not significant parameters in the model.

Patterns in the residual plots (e_i vs y_{mod} , x_i) show if terms is missing in the model.

3. Bad design matrix

Near singular $\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X}$ -matrix indicates that two or more variables covariate.

If the study of the correlation matrix $(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}$ shows that the correlation between two parameters is high (>0.8), then the design matrix should be changed (moved).

An simple method to study the influence of separate observation points is to calculate the diagonal elements in the hat matrix $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^T\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}^T$.

If $h_{ii} > 2p/n$, then the observation i is a high leverage point.