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## Random variables:

- Joint distribution of X; Y.
- Dependent random variables:
- correlated normal variables,
- expectation of h(X; Y ), covariance.
- Conditional pdf and cdf.
- Law of total probabilities.
- Bayes formula.


## Joint probability distribution function of $X, Y$ :

Example Experiment: select at random a person in the classroom and measure his (her) length $x[\mathrm{~m}]$ and weight $y[\mathrm{~kg}]$. Such an experiment results in two r.v. $X ; Y$.

- Joint distribution of $X ; Y$ is a function

$$
F_{X Y}(x, y)=\mathrm{P}(X \leq x \text { and } Y \leq y)=\mathrm{P}(X \leq x, Y \leq y)^{1} .
$$

- $X, Y$ are independent if

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{X Y}(x, y)=F_{X}(x) F_{Y}(y) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- if $X, Y$ are independent then any statement $A$ about $X$ is independent of a statement $B$ about $Y$, i.e. $\mathrm{P}(A \cap B)=\mathrm{P}(A) \mathrm{P}(B)$

[^0]Wave data from North Sea. Scatter plot of crest period and crest amplitude (left); crest period $T_{c}$ and crest amplitude $A_{c}$, resampled from original data (right).

Are $T_{c}, A_{c}$ independent?
Very unlikely!

There were $n=199$ waves measured. In order to get independent observations of $T_{c}, A_{c}$ we choose 100 waves at random out of 199 . Next we split the data in four groups defined by events $A=T_{c} \leq 1$, $B=A_{c} \leq 2$ and let $p=\mathrm{P}(A)$ and $q=\mathrm{P}(B)$. Data:

|  | $B$ | $B^{c}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | 16 | 2 |
| $A^{c}$ | 49 | 33 |

[^1]$$
Q=5.51, f=4-2-1 .
$$

| $n$ | $\alpha$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.9995 | 0.999 | 0.995 | 0.99 | 0.975 | 0.95 | 0.05 | 0.025 | 0.01 | 0.005 | 0.001 | 0.0005 |
| 1 | - | - | $<10^{-2}$ | $<10^{-2}$ | $<10^{-2}$ | $<10^{-2}$ | 3.841 | 5.024 | 6.635 | 7.879 | 10.83 | 12.12 |
| 2 | $<10^{-2}$ | $<10^{-2}$ | 0.0100 | 0.0201 | 0.0506 | 0.1026 | 5.991 | 7.378 | 9.210 | 10.60 | 13.82 | 15.20 |
| 3 | 0.0153 | 0.0240 | 0.0717 | 0.1148 | 0.2158 | 0.3518 | 7.815 | 9.348 | 11.34 | 12.84 | 16.27 | 17.73 |
| 4 | 0.0639 | 0.0908 | 0.2070 | 0.2971 | 0.4844 | 0.7107 | 9.488 | 11.14 | 13.28 | 14.86 | 18.47 | 20.00 |
| 5 | 0.1581 | 0.2102 | 0.4117 | 0.5543 | 0.8312 | 1.145 | 11.07 | 12.83 | 15.09 | 16.75 | 20.52 | 22.11 |
| 6 | 0.2994 | 0.3811 | 0.6757 | 0.8721 | 1.237 | 1.635 | 12.59 | 14.45 | 16.81 | 18.55 | 22.46 | 24.10 |
| 7 | 0.4849 | 0.5985 | 0.9893 | 1.239 | 1.690 | 2.167 | 14.07 | 16.01 | 18.48 | 20.28 | 24.32 | 26.02 |
| 8 | 0.7104 | 0.8571 | 1.344 | 1.646 | 2.180 | 2.733 | 15.51 | 17.53 | 20.09 | 21.95 | 26.12 | 27.87 |
| 9 | 0.9717 | 1.152 | 1.735 | 2.088 | 2.700 | 3.325 | 16.92 | 19.02 | 21.67 | 23.59 | 27.88 | 29.67 |
| 10 | 1.265 | 1.479 | 2.156 | 2.558 | 3.247 | 3.940 | 18.31 | 20.48 | 23.21 | 25.19 | 29.59 | 31.42 |
| 11 | 1.587 | 1.834 | 2.603 | 3.053 | 3.816 | 4.575 | 19.68 | 21.92 | 24.72 | 26.76 | 31.26 | 33.14 |
| 12 | 1.934 | 2.214 | 3.074 | 3.571 | 4.404 | 5.226 | 21.03 | 23.34 | 26.22 | 28.30 | 32.91 | 34.82 |
| 13 | 2.305 | 2.617 | 3.565 | 4.107 | 5.009 | 5.892 | 22.36 | 24.74 | 27.69 | 29.82 | 34.53 | 36.48 |
| 14 | 2.697 | 3.041 | 4.075 | 4.660 | 5.629 | 6.571 | 23.68 | 26.12 | 29.14 | 31.32 | 36.12 | 38.11 |
| 15 | 3.108 | 3.483 | 4.601 | 5.229 | 6.262 | 7.261 | 25.00 | 27.49 | 30.58 | 32.80 | 37.70 | 39.72 |
| 16 | 3.536 | 3.942 | 5.142 | 5.812 | 6.908 | 7.962 | 26.30 | 28.85 | 32.00 | 34.27 | 39.25 | 41.31 |
| 17 | 3.980 | 4.416 | 5.697 | 6.408 | 7.564 | 8.672 | 27.59 | 30.19 | 33.41 | 35.72 | 40.79 | 42.88 |
| 18 | 4.439 | 4.905 | 6.265 | 7.015 | 8.231 | 9.390 | 28.87 | 31.53 | 34.81 | 37.16 | 42.31 | 44.43 |
| 19 | 4.912 | 5.407 | 6.844 | 7.633 | 8.907 | 10.12 | 30.14 | 32.85 | 36.19 | 38.58 | 43.82 | 45.97 |
| 20 | 5.398 | 5.921 | 7.434 | 8.260 | 9.591 | 10.85 | 31.41 | 34.17 | 37.57 | 40.00 | 45.31 | 47.50 |
| 21 | 5.896 | 6.447 | 8.034 | 8.897 | 10.28 | 11.59 | 32.67 | 35.48 | 38.93 | 41.40 | 46.80 | 49.01 |
| 22 | 6.404 | 6.983 | 8.643 | 9.542 | 10.98 | 12.34 | 33.92 | 36.78 | 40.29 | 42.80 | 48.27 | 50.51 |
| 23 | 6.924 | 7.529 | 9.260 | 10.20 | 11.69 | 13.09 | 35.17 | 38.08 | 41.64 | 44.18 | 49.73 | 52.00 |
| 24 | 7.453 | 8.085 | 9.886 | 10.86 | 12.40 | 13.85 | 36.42 | 39.36 | 42.98 | 45.56 | 51.18 | 53.48 |
| 25 | 7.991 | 8.649 | 10.52 | 11.52 | 13.12 | 14.61 | 37.65 | 40.65 | 44.31 | 46.93 | 52.62 | 54.95 |
| 26 | 8.538 | 9.222 | 11.16 | 12.20 | 13.84 | 15.38 | 38.89 | 41.92 | 45.64 | 48.29 | 54.05 | 56.41 |
| 27 | 9.093 | 9.803 | 11.81 | 12.88 | 14.57 | 16.15 | 40.11 | 43.19 | 46.96 | 49.64 | 55.48 | 57.86 |
| 28 | 9.656 | 10.39 | 12.46 | 13.56 | 15.31 | 16.93 | 41.34 | 44.46 | 48.28 | 50.99 | 56.89 | 59.30 |
| 29 | 10.23 | 10.99 | 13.12 | 14.26 | 16.05 | 17.71 | 42.56 | 45.72 | 49.59 | 52.34 | 58.30 | 60.73 |
| 30 | 10.80 | 11.59 | 13.79 | 14.95 | 16.79 | 18.49 | 43.77 | 46.98 | 50.89 | 53.67 | 59.70 | 62.16 |
| 40 | 16.91 | 17.92 | 20.71 | 22.16 | 24.43 | 26.51 | 55.76 | 59.34 | 63.69 | 66.77 | 73.40 | 76.09 |
| 50 | 23.46 | 24.67 | 27.99 | 29.71 | 32.36 | 34.76 | 67.50 | 71.42 | 76.15 | 79.49 | 86.66 | 89.56 |
| 60 | 30.34 | 31.74 | 35.53 | 37.48 | 40.48 | 43.19 | 79.08 | 83.30 | 88.38 | 91.95 | 99.61 | 102.7 |
| 70 | 37.47 | 39.04 | 43.28 | 45.44 | 48.76 | 51.74 | 90.53 | 95.02 | 100.4 | 104.2 | 112.3 | 115.6 |
| 80 | 44.79 | 46.52 | 51.17 | 53.54 | 57.15 | 60.39 | 101.9 | 106.6 | 112.3 | 116.3 | 124.8 | 128.3 |
| 90 | 52.28 | 54.16 | 59.20 | 61.75 | 65.65 | 69.13 | 113.1 | 118.1 | 124.1 | 128.3 | 137.2 | 140.8 |
| 100 | 59.90 | 61.92 | 67.33 | 70.06 | 74.22 | 77.93 | 124.3 | 129.6 | 135.8 | 140.2 | 149.4 | 153.2 |

## CDF - some properties:

- $F_{X Y}(x, y)$ is non-decreasing function of $x, y . F_{X Y}(x,+\infty)=F_{X}(x)$ and $F_{X Y}(+\infty, y)=F_{Y}(y)$
- A continuous cdf posses a probability density function $f_{X Y}(x, y)$ such that

$$
F_{X Y}(x, y)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} \int_{-\infty}^{y} f_{X Y}(\tilde{x}, \tilde{y}) \tilde{x} \tilde{y}
$$

- Any positive function that integrates to one defines a cdf.
- For independent $X, Y, f_{X Y}(x, y)=f_{X}(x) f_{Y}(y)$.
- If $X, Y$ takes only finite (countable) number of values, for example $0,1,2, \ldots$. The function $p_{i j}=\mathrm{P}(X=i, Y=j)$ is called a probability mass function and

$$
F_{X Y}(x, y)=\sum_{i \leq x} \sum_{j \leq y} p_{i j} .
$$

## Example - Multinomial :

A probability-mass function $p_{j k}$ often used in applications is the multi-nomial distribution. It is a generalization of the binomial distribution to higher dimensions:

$$
\mathrm{P}(X=j, Y=k)=\frac{n!}{j!k!(n-j-k)!} p_{A}^{j} p_{B}^{k}\left(1-p_{A}-p_{B}\right)^{n-j-k}
$$

for $0 \leq j+k \leq n$ and zero otherwise, $p_{A}$, and $p_{B}$ are parameters.
$X$ is $\operatorname{Bin}\left(n, p_{A}\right)$ while $Y$ is $\operatorname{Bin}\left(n, p_{B}\right)$ but $X, Y$ are in general dependent ${ }^{3}$ :

$$
\mathrm{P}(X=0, Y=0)=\left(1-p_{A}-p_{B}\right)^{n} \neq\left(1-p_{A}\right)^{n}\left(1-p_{B}\right)^{n}
$$

Problem 5.2: Under assumption of independence what is probability that in five fires three are in family houses?

[^2]
## Example: Normal pdf- and cdf-function:

The cdf of standard normal r.v. $Z$ say is defined through its pdf-function:

$$
\mathrm{P}(X \leq x)=\Phi(x)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{e}^{-\xi^{2} / 2} \mathrm{~d} \xi
$$

Let $X, Y$, be independent $N(0,1)$ variables then

$$
f_{X Y}(x, y)=f_{X}(x) f_{Y}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right) / 2}
$$

More generally if $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ are independent standard normal then $X=m_{X}+\sigma_{X} Z_{1}, Y=m_{Y}+\sigma_{Y} Z_{2}$ are independent $N\left(m_{X}, \sigma_{X}^{2}\right)$ and $N\left(m_{Y}, \sigma_{Y}^{2}\right)$ having joint pdf

$$
f_{X Y}(x, y)=f_{X}(x) f_{Y}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma_{X} \sigma_{Y}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\left(x-m_{X}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{X}^{2}}+\frac{\left(y-m_{Y}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{Y}^{2}}\right)} .
$$

As before $m_{X}=\mathrm{E}[X], m_{Y}=\mathrm{E}[Y]$ while $\sigma_{X}^{2}=\mathrm{V}[X], \sigma_{Y}^{2}=\mathrm{V}[Y]$.

## Example:




Normalized histogram of weights $X$ (left) and length $Y$ (right) of 750 newborn children in Malmö.

Solid line the normal pdf with $m_{X}=3400 \mathrm{~g}, \sigma_{X}=570 \mathrm{~g}, m_{Y}=49.9$ $\mathrm{cm}, \sigma_{Y}=2.24 \mathrm{~cm}^{a}$.
${ }^{a}$ Three outliers has been removed.


## Two dimensional Normal cdf:

Let $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$ ne independent $N(0,1)$ variables. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
X & =m_{X}+\sigma_{X} Z_{1} \\
Y & =m_{Y}+\rho \sigma_{Y} Z_{1}+\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) \sigma_{Y} Z_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

The r.v. $X, Y$ are jointly normal $(X, Y) \in N\left(m_{X}, m_{Y}, \sigma_{X}^{2}, \sigma_{Y}^{2}, \rho\right)$ and have pdf given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, y)=\frac{1}{2 \pi \sigma_{X} \sigma_{Y} \sqrt{1-\rho^{2}}} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{\left(x-m_{X}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{X}^{2}}+\frac{\left(y-m_{Y}\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{Y}^{2}}-2 \rho \frac{\left(x-m_{X}\right)}{\sigma_{X}} \frac{\left(y-m_{Y}\right)}{\sigma_{Y}}\right\}}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$-1 \leq \rho \leq 1$. If $\rho=0$ then $X, Y$ are independent. If $\rho=1$ or $-1 Y$ is a linear function of $X$. ${ }^{4}$

For any constants $a, b, c$ if $(X, Y) \in N\left(m_{X}, m_{Y}, \sigma_{X}^{2}, \sigma_{Y}^{2}, \rho\right)$ then

$$
a+b X+c Y \in N\left(m, \sigma^{2}\right), \quad m=a+b m_{X}+c m_{Y}, \quad \sigma^{2}=? .
$$

${ }^{4}$ In the previous slight in right-bottom plot $\rho=0.75$.

## Expected value of $Z=h(X, Y)$ :

$Z$ is a random variable hence if one knows pdf or pmf then

$$
\mathrm{E}[Z]=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} z f_{Z}(z) \mathrm{d} z \quad \text { or } \quad \mathrm{E}[Z]=\sum_{z} z p_{z}
$$

If the joint cdf $F_{X Y}(x, y)$ is known then $F_{Z}(z)=\mathrm{P}(h(X, Y) \leq z)$ can be computed. However this is not needed since
$\mathrm{E}[Z]=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} h(x, y) f_{X Y}(x, y) \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y \quad$ or $\mathrm{E}[Z]=\sum_{x, y} h(x, y) p_{x y}$.

Examples: if $Z=a X+b Y$ then $\mathrm{E}[Z]=a \mathrm{E}[X]+b \mathrm{E}[Y]$
if $X$ and $Y$ are independent and $Z=X \cdot Y$ then $\mathrm{E}[X \cdot Y]=\mathrm{E}[X] \mathrm{E}[Y]$.

## Covariance - correlation:

For any two independent r.v. $X$ and $Y, \mathrm{E}[X \cdot Y]=\mathrm{E}[X] \mathrm{E}[Y]$ thus the difference

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)=\mathrm{E}[X \cdot Y]-E[X] E[Y] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a measure of dependence between X and Y and is called covariance.

From (3) we see that $\operatorname{Cov}(a X, b Y)=a b \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)$ and hence by changing the units of $X$ and $Y$ the covariance can have value close to zero and can be misinterpreted as being only weakly dependent. Consequently, one is also defining scaled covariance called correlation ${ }^{5}$

$$
\rho=\frac{\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{\mathrm{V}[X] \mathrm{V}[Y]}}, \quad-1 \leq \rho \leq 1 .
$$

Problem 5.3: See blackboard.
${ }^{5}$ If for $X$ and $Y$ correlation $|\rho|=1$ then there are constants $\mathrm{a} ; \mathrm{b}$ (both not equal zero) such that $a X+b Y=0$ with probability one.

## Covariance - variance of a sum:

When one has two random variables, their variances and covariances are often represented in the form of a symmetric matrix $\Sigma$, say,

$$
\Sigma=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{V}[X] & \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y) \\
\operatorname{Cov}(X, Y) & \mathrm{V}[Y]
\end{array}\right]
$$

The variance of a sum of correlated variables will be needed for computation of variance in the following chapters. Starting from the definition of variance and covariance, the following general formula can be derived (do it as an exercise):

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{V}[a X+b Y+c]=a^{2} \mathrm{~V}[X]+b^{2} \mathrm{~V}[Y]+2 a b \operatorname{Cov}(X, Y) . \\
\Sigma=\operatorname{Cov}\left[\mathcal{E}_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{2} ; \mathcal{E}_{1}, \mathcal{E}_{2}\right] \approx-\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial^{2} I}{\partial \theta_{1}^{2}} & \frac{\partial^{2} l}{\partial \theta_{1} \partial \theta_{2}} \\
\frac{\partial^{2} l}{\partial \theta_{2} \partial \theta_{1}} & \frac{\partial^{2} l}{\partial \theta_{2}^{2}}
\end{array}\right]^{-1}=-\left[\ddot{i}\left(\theta_{1}^{*}, \theta_{2}^{*}\right)\right]^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Conditional probability mass function

Suppose we are told that the event $A$, such that $\mathrm{P}(A)>0$, has occurred, then probability that $B$ occurs (is true), given that $A$ has occurred, is

$$
\mathrm{P}(B \mid A)=\frac{\mathrm{P}(A \cap B)}{\mathrm{P}(A)} .
$$

For discrete random variables $X, Y$ with probability-mass function $p_{j k}=\mathrm{P}(X=j, Y=k)$ the conditional probabilities

$$
\mathrm{P}(X=j \mid Y=k)=\frac{\mathrm{P}(X=j, Y=k)}{\mathrm{P}(Y=k)}=\frac{p_{j k}}{p_{k}}=p(j \mid k), \quad j=0,1, \ldots
$$

It is easy to show that that $p(j \mid k)$, as a function of $j$, is a probability-mass function.

Problem 5.11: Application of formulas $p_{j k}=p(j \mid k) p_{k}$ and $p_{j}=\sum_{k} p_{j k}$ (blackboard).

## The conditional cdf $P(X \leq x \mid Y=y)$. and pdf

Suppose that we observed the value of $Y$, e.g. we know that $Y=y$, but $X$ is not observed yet. An important question is if the uncertainty about $X$ is affected by our knowledge that $Y=y$, i.e. if

$$
F(x \mid y)=\mathrm{P}(X \leq x \mid Y=y)
$$

depends on $y^{6}$.

For continuous r.v. $X, Y$ it is not obvious how to define conditional probabilities given that " $Y=y$ ", since $\mathrm{P}(Y=y)=0$ for all $y$. As before we can intuitively reason that we wish to condition on " $Y \approx y$ " then the conditional pdf of $X$ given $Y=y$ is define by

$$
f(x \mid y)=\frac{f(x, y)}{f(y)}, \quad F(x \mid y)=\int_{-\infty}^{x} f(\widetilde{x} \mid y) \mathrm{d} \widetilde{x}
$$

is the conditional distribution.
${ }^{6}$ If $X$ and $Y$ are independent then obviously $F(x \mid y)=F_{X}(x)$ and $Y$ gives us no knowledge about $X$.

## Law of Total Probability

Let $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{n}$ be a partition of the sample space.
Then for any event $B$

$$
\mathrm{P}(B)=\mathrm{P}\left(B \mid A_{1}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(A_{1}\right)+\mathrm{P}\left(B \mid A_{2}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(A_{2}\right)+\cdots+\mathrm{P}\left(B \mid A_{n}\right) \mathrm{P}\left(A_{n}\right)
$$

If $X$ and $Y$ have joint density $f(x, y)$ and $B$ is a statement about $X$, then

$$
\mathrm{P}(B)=\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{P}(B \mid Y=y) f_{Y}(y) \mathrm{d} y . \quad \mathrm{P}(B \mid Y=y)=\int_{B} f(x \mid y) \mathrm{d} x
$$

Bayes formula: In many examples the new piece of information is formulated in form of a statement that is true. For example $\mathrm{C}=$ "the wire passed preloading test of 1000 kg ", i.e. $C=" Y>1000$ " is true. If the likelihood $L(y)=\mathrm{P}(C \mid Y=y)$ is known then the density $f(y \mid C)$ is computed using Bayes formula $f(y \mid C)=c \mathrm{P}(C \mid Y=y) f(y)$.

## Typical problems in safety of existing structure:

Suppose a wire has known strength y. Let $X_{1}$ be the maximal load during the first year of exploitation. Compute

$$
\mathrm{P}(\text { " wire survives first years load" })=\mathrm{P}\left(X_{1}<y\right)=F_{X_{1}}(y) .
$$

In reality strength $y$ is not known, r.v. $Y$ models the uncertainty and

$$
P_{\text {safe }}=\mathrm{P}(\text { "wire survives first years load" })=\mathrm{P}\left(X_{1}<Y\right)
$$

## Problems:

(a) How to compute probability $P_{\text {safe }}=P(B)$, where $B=" X 1<Y$ "?
(b) Suppose $B=X_{1}<Y$ is true, what is the probability

$$
P_{\text {safe }}=\mathrm{P}(\text { " wire survives second year load" } \mid B)=\mathrm{P}\left(X_{2}<Y \mid B\right) \text { ? }
$$

(c) What is distribution of strength $Y$ after surviving the first year load, i.e. $F_{Y}(y \mid B)=\mathrm{P}(Y \leq y \mid B)$ ?


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Similarly as for one dimensional case, the probability of any statement about the random variables $X, Y$ is computable (at least in theory) when $F_{X Y}(x, y)$ is known.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ If $T_{c}$ and $A_{c}$ are independent then probabilities of four events $A B, A^{c} B$, $A B^{c}$ and $A^{c} B^{c}$ are defined by parameters $p, q$. The estimates are $p^{*}=0.18$, $q^{*}=0.65$. Now we can use $\chi^{2}$ test to test hypothesis of independence, see blackboard.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ In addition $Z=X+Y$ is $\operatorname{Bin}\left(n, p_{A}+p_{B}\right)$ and take values $0, \ldots, n$, and not $0, \ldots, 2 n$ what would be the case for independent $X$ and $Y$.

